## SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, MARCH 4, 2010 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S179090 B211364 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. SHERIDAN (MICHAEL HERMEN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 9, 2010.

S179285 E046543 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. BROWN (WILLIAM ARTHUR)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 12, 2010.

S179287 H033323 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. LIPSKA (DARYL) The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 15, 2010.

S179306 C058768 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. THOMPSON (PHILLIP ARTHUR)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 14, 2010.

S179344 E047440 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. CASON (MICHAEL BARRETT)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 9, 2010.

S179351 A120722 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. GHAZEY (WILLIAM FRANCIS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 9, 2010.

S179360 G041572 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. YI (RAYMOND KIU JIN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 9, 2010.

S179361 H031532 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. KANDA (ROMESH CHANDER)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 9, 2010.

S179363 B209916/B216745 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. ADAMS (DELVION LAMAR)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 9, 2010.

S179367 C060310 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. DOTSON (URBERTO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 9, 2010.

S179375 C058856 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. BROOKS (PHARAOH ELISHA)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 9, 2010.

**S179408** B208790 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **PEOPLE v. HARRIS (FRANK)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 14, 2010.

S179415 F055888 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. STANTON (DAVID ROY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 13, 2010.

S179427 G040778 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. REYES (BENITO CASTRO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 14, 2010.

S179445 B211281 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. COPASS (STEVEN ALLAN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 14, 2010.

S179452 C058944 Third Appellate District

MARTIN BROTHERS CONSTRUCTION, INC. v. THOMPSON PACIFIC CONSTRUCTION, INC.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 14, 2010.

S179465 H033212 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. FLORES (ADAN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 14, 2010.

S179487 B210890 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. SANFORD (JARMON)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to April 15, 2010.

S173972 B199287 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 LOEFFLER (KIMBERLY) v. TARGET CORPORATION

Extension of time granted

On application of Amicus Curiae Former State Legislators William T. Bagley and Barry Keene and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file its amicus curiae brief in support of appellants is hereby extended to April 1, 2010.

S173972 B199287 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 LOEFFLER (KIMBERLY) v. TARGET CORPORATION

Extension of time granted

On application of Amicus Curiae Consumer Attorneys of California and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file its amicus curiae brief in support of appellants is hereby extended to April 1, 2010.

S173972 B199287 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 LOEFFLER (KIMBERLY) v. TARGET CORPORATION

Extension of time granted

On application of Amicus Curiae Consumer Watchdog and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file its amicus curiae brief in support of appellants is hereby extended to April 1, 2010.

S173972 B199287 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 LOEFFLER (KIMBERLY) v. TARGET CORPORATION

Extension of time granted

On application of Amicus Curiae California State Board of Equalization and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file its amicus curiae brief in support of respondent is hereby extended to April 1, 2010.

S173972 B199287 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 LOEFFLER (KIMBERLY) v. TARGET CORPORATION

Extension of time granted

On application of Amicus Curiae Association of Concerned Taxpayers and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file its amicus curiae brief in support of appellants is hereby extended to April 1, 2010.

S177046 D052885 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (VIRGINIA HERNANDEZ)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's answer brief on the merits is extended to April 5, 2010.

S177654 B212416 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 IN RE V.V.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to March 30, 2010.

S175204 G040843 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 RUIZ (ALEJANDRA) v. PODOLSKY (ANATOL)

Order filed

Justice Werdegar recused herself from participating in the order granting review in this case, filed on October 14, 2009. Having examined the materials subsequently filed in this court, and having concluded that there is no basis for requiring her further recusal in this matter, Justice Werdegar will participate in all further proceedings in this matter before this court.

### S178384

### WALSH ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed

The order of this court filed February 22, 2010, imposing discipline, is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

"The court orders that JASON ROBERT WALSH, State Bar Number 158471, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JASON ROBERT WALSH is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of one year, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
  - i. The State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar; and
  - ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. JASON ROBERT WALSH must comply with the conditions of probation, if any, imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his suspension.

JASON ROBERT WALSH must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

JASON ROBERT WALSH must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment."

### S178389

#### ASHWORTH ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed

The order of this court filed on February 22, 2010, imposing discipline, is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

"The court orders that JAMES CHISHOLM ASHWORTH, State Bar Number 151272, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JAMES CHISHOLM ASHWORTH is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation (with credit given for the period of inactive enrollment pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6233 which commenced on September 25, 2006 and terminated on March 26, 2007).
- 2. JAMES CHISHOLM ASHWORTH must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on October 14, 2009; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JAMES CHISHOLM ASHWORTH has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JAMES CHISHOLM ASHWORTH must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment."

S180695 G041338 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PRICELINE.COM, INC. v. CITY OF ANAHEIM

Order filed

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to May 5, 2010. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512 (c).)

# BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 912)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

# ANGELES SESSION APRIL 6 and 7, 2010

### FIRST AMENDED

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald Reagan State Office Building, 300 South Spring Street, Third Floor, North Tower, Los Angeles, California on April 6 and 7, 2010.

## <u>TUESDAY, APRIL 6, 2010 — 9:00 A.M.</u>

Simpson Strong-Tie Co. v. Pierce Gore et al. City of San Jose v. Operating Engineers Local Union No. 3 et al. [To be called and continued to the May 2010 calendar] People v. Correa (Victor)					
2:00 P.M.					
People v. Ceja (Rafael)					
People v. Russell (Timothy) [Automatic Appeal]					
People v. Williams (David Earl) [Automatic Appeal]					
WEDNESDAY, APRIL 7, 2010 — 9:00 A.M.					
Greene v. Marin Co. Flood Control & Water Conservation Dist. (Flood Mitigation League of Ross Valley et al., Interveners) (Baxter and Werdegar, JJ., not participating; Raye and Reardon, JJ., assigned justices pro tempore)					
Tverberg et al. v. Fillner Construction Inc.					
People v. Castillo (Javier)					
<u>1:30 P.M.</u>					
People v. Low (Tony Richard)					
People v. Gastello (Tommy)					
People v. Hartsch (Cisco) [Automatic Appeal]					

# GEORGE Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)